**Item** 

To: East Area Committee

Report by: Andrew Preston

Project Delivery & Environment Manager

Wards affected: Coleridge, Petersfield, Abbey, Romsey

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS IN THE HIGHWAY**

## 1. Executive summary

- The County Council has recently made the decision to request commuted sums to fund their increased maintenance liabilities created by City Council funded projects within the highway.
- This decision currently affects an existing Environmental Improvement Project that has been approved for delivery. Approval of further funding is therefore necessary to enable this project to be delivered.
- The County Council has also approved a joint highways budget with the City Council to fund minor schemes within the highway.
- East Area Committee has been delegated the £7000 share of the County Council's £25,000 total contribution, to prioritise schemes and provide match funding from their Environmental Improvement Programme (EIP) budget.

#### 2. Recommendations

The East Area Committee is recommended:

- 2.1 To select minor highway schemes, taking into account those identified in Appendix B, for further development and consultation, with a view to providing £7000 in match funding from the EIP budget.
- 2.2 To determine whether to fund a £11,235,84 commuted sum to the County Council for the increased maintenance liabilities associated with the Chalmers Road and Greville Road Tree Planting Scheme from the EIP budget, and revise other project budgets accordingly.

## 3. Background

- 3.1 The County Council's Third Party Funding Policy has existed for some time, but has not been applied to the City Council, mainly Parish Council's.
- 3.2 In order to achieve consistency in its third party funding policy across the County, the County Council now requires the City Council to fund any additional maintenance liabilities, in the same way as other third parties.
- 3.3 These are to be provided in the form of commuted sums for assessed increases in annual maintenance over a 12-year period.
- 3.3 All new features introduced within the highway will have an associated maintenance liability. The assessment will also take into account any features that are removed, giving a balance of the maintenance liability.
- 3.4 The £25,000 County contribution for the Joint Highways Budget, recently agreed by the County Council's Cabinet was delegated to the Cambridge Area Joint Committee (CAJC). A list of unfunded minor schemes were also presented to this Committee for consideration.
- 3.5 This budget requires match funding from the City Council and it was agreed by the CAJC that it should be further delegated to the Area Committees to select schemes, taking into account the current unfunded minor schemes list.
- 3.6 Match funding for these schemes from their allocated City Council Environmental Improvement Capital Programme Budget could then also be agreed.
- 3.7 The CAJC resolved to delegate the £25,000 budget in favour of the Area Committees with the higher number of Wards. £7000 was therefore delegated to East and North and £5500 to West/Central and South

### 4. Implications

- 4.1 The assessed increase in maintenance liability for the Chalmers Road and Greville Road Tree Planting Scheme, which proposes to plant a total of eleven trees in the highway, amounts to £936.32 annually, leading to a 12 year commuted sum of £11,235.84.
- 4.2 The majority of the current Environmental Improvement Programme budget allocated to East Area was committed to projects at the last Area Committee meeting. The budget table in Appendix A illustrates these agreed allocations.
- 4.3 If the Committee wish to fund a matched £7000 contribution for the minor highway schemes, as well as the commuted sum for maintenance, the Committee will need to decide which project or projects are assigned revised lower budgets.

### 5. Background papers

These background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

COUNTY COUNCIL NETWORK MANAGEMENT LEAFLET No. 6 (September 2010) THIRD PARTY FUNDING OF HIGHWAY MEASURES

# 6. Appendices

### APPENDIX A

East Area Committee Budget Table.

### APPENDIX B

Current unfunded Minor schemes list for joint funding consideration.

# 7. Inspection of papers

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:

Author's Name: Andrew Preston Author's Phone Number: 01223 457271

Author's Email: <a href="mailto:andrew.preston@cambridge.gov.uk">andrew.preston@cambridge.gov.uk</a>

Report Page No: 3

#### **EAST AREA COMMITTEE**

**Environmental Improvements Programme 2011-2012** 

Total Budget Available to 31/3/12

£358,200

ADOPTED PROJECTS	COMPLETE	Total Spend Previous Years £	Forecast Spend 2011/12 £	TOTAL SCHEME COST £	Approved Budget £
Mill Road Hanging Baskets 2011	✓	0	7,500	7,500	7,500
Cherry Hinton Rd Shop Forecourts Riverside Conflict Reduction Scheme Cavendish Road	✓ ✓	5,650 60,000 26	64,350 60,000 14,974	70,000 120,000 15,000	70,000 120,000 15,000
Staffordshire St Verge Parking	✓	20,547	64,453	85,000	85,000
Devonshire Road Cycle Bridge	✓	10,702	1,500	12,202	17,500
Highway Verges Scheme	✓	32,000	48,000	80,000	93,500
Mill Road Cemetery	✓	0	5,000	5,000	5,000
Romsey Planting		10,175	11,825	22,000	22,000
Rustat Road Footpath	✓	6,624	350	6,974	10,000
total cost to implement adopted projects			277,952		
Uncommitted Budget			80,248		
SCHEMES UNDER DEVELOPMENT*		Total Spend to Date £	Total Estimated Cost £		
Ditton Walk/Newmarket Rd Planting		0	14,000		
No Waiting & 1hr Parking Restrictions (Coleridge Area)		0	10,000		
Riverside Railing Refurbishment		0	25,000		
Silverwood Close and Whitehill Road Estate Verge Parking Prohibition		0	8,000		
Tree Planting on Chalmers Rd & Greville Rd		0	5,000		
Stanley Rd/Garlic Row		0	4,000		
Brooks Rd/Perne Rd Verge Parking Prohibition		0	7,500		
total estimated cost of projects in development		0	73,500		

\*Projects agreed by Ctte to be investigated, but no budget committed. Costs shown are estimated and will depend on detailed design and site investigation. N.B. The estimated costs shown above are merely given as a rough guide until the projects can be designed and costed.

MINOR TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDERS (No source of funding currently identified)					
Location	Restriction	Ward	AJC consideration of representations/progress		
Stone Street	Waiting restrictions	Petersfield	Being considered as part City EIP		
Tiverton Way, Budleigh Close, Birdwood Road	Waiting restrictions	Coleridge	No specific proposals agreed with Ward Councillors		
Charles Street, Greville Road	Waiting restrictions	Romsey	No specific proposals agreed		
Fairsford Place	Waiting restrictions	Petersfield	No specific proposals agreed		
Rustat Road	Waiting restrictions	Coleridge	Request from Ward Councillor		
Ainsworth Court	Waiting restrictions	Petersfield	Request from Ward Councillor		
Ross St o/s no 31	Removal of Waiting restrictions	Romsey	Request from resident		